

Reducing Deep Pushdown Automata

Zbyněk Křivka & Rudolf Schönecker

Supervised by Alexander Meduna

Department of Information Systems
Faculty of Information Technologies
Brno University of Technology

Electrical Engineering, Information and
Communication Technologies, April 27, 2006

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Motivation and Inspiration
- 2 Definitions
 - Reducing Deep Pushdown Automata
 - Example
- 3 Our Results
 - Generative power

Motivation

inspiration and special characteristics

Inspiration:

- A. Meduna's article about deep top-down parser
- general bottom-up context-free parser
- generalization of classical PDA

Definition

Reducing Deep Pushdown Automata

RDPDA is a 6-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, R, s, F)$

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is an input alphabet
- Γ is a pushdown alphabet
- $s \in Q$ is a start state
- R is finite set of rules of the form:
 $qv \vdash mpA \in R$, where $p, q \in Q$, $m \geq 1$, $A \in \Gamma - \Sigma$, $v \in \Gamma^*$
- $F \subseteq Q$ is a set of final states

Configuration of RDPDA

- is a pair $Q \times (\Gamma - \{\#\})^* \{\#\}$

Definition

Move and Accepted Language

Move of RDPDA from (q, uvz) to (p, uAz)

- $q, p \in Q, u, v, z \in \Gamma^*, A \in \Gamma - \Sigma$
- by using $qv \vdash mpA$
- $occur(u, \Gamma - \Sigma) = m - 1$

is $(q, uvz) \vDash (p, uAz) \quad [qv \vdash mpA]$ in M

Language accepted by M , $L(M)$:

- $L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid (s, w\#) \vDash^* (f, \#)\}$

Definition

Reducing Deep Pushdown Automata

Depth of RDPDA

- every rule $qv \vdash mpA$ satisfies $m \leq n$
 - n is minimal positive integer
- \Rightarrow denoted by ${}_nM$

Family of languages $\mathcal{L}_k(\text{RDPDA})$ (of depth k)

- all languages accepted by ${}_i\text{RDPDA}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$

Simple example of RDPDA

accepting of language $a^n b^n c^n$

$${}_2M = (\{s, t, q, p, f\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{A, B, \#\}, R, s, \{f\})$$

$$1. \quad sab \vdash 1tA$$

$$4. \quad qBc \vdash 2pB$$

$$2. \quad tc \vdash 2pB$$

$$5. \quad pAB\# \vdash 1f\#$$

$$3. \quad paAb \vdash 1qA$$

Example (acceptance of string $aabbcc$)

$$(s, aabbcc\#) \vdash (t, aAbcc\#) [1] \vdash (p, aAbBc\#) [2] \vdash (q, ABc\#) [3] \vdash (p, AB\#) [4] \vdash (f, \#) [5]$$

Generative power and infinite hierarchy

of Reducing Deep Pushdown Automata

infinite hierarchy for RDPDAs

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\text{RDPDA}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(\text{RDPDA}), \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

- 1 $\mathcal{L}_n(\text{ST}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(\text{ST}), \text{ for all } n \geq 1$ (T. Kasai, 1970)
- 2 $\mathcal{L}_n(\text{ST}) = \mathcal{L}_n(\text{RDPDA})$

Theorem 2

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\text{ST}) = \mathcal{L}_n(\text{RDPDA}), \text{ for every } n \geq 1$$

Proof: 1) $\mathcal{L}_n(\text{ST}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n(\text{RDPDA})$ 2) $\mathcal{L}_n(\text{RDPDA}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n(\text{ST})$

Interesting determinism notice

Reducing Deep Pushdown Automata

We give more space for nondeterminism

- analogy with top-down vs. bottom-up parsers

notice

But the generative power remains the same as by Deep PDA.

References...



A. Meduna.

Deep Pushdown Automata.

Acta Informatica, 2006 (in press)



T. Kasai

A Hierarchy Between Context-Free and Context-Sensitive Languages.

Journal of Computer and System Sciences, vol. 4, 1970.