

# Context-Conditional Grammars: An Overview

Jiří Techet    Tomáš Masopust    (Alexander Meduna)

Department of Information Systems  
Faculty of Information Technology  
Brno University of Technology  
Božetěchova 2, Brno 61266, Czech Republic

Modern Formal Language Theory, 2007

# A bit of history

- 1970, Van der Walt introduces Random Context Grammars
  - Context-free grammars where two finite sets of symbols (permitting and forbidding context) are associated with each production.
- This is about variants of generalized RC grammars (strings are permitted in permitting and forbidding contexts).

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A context-conditional grammar (cc-grammar) is a quadruple

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

- $N$  is a nonterminal alphabet,
- $T$  is a terminal alphabet such that  $N \cap T = \emptyset$ ,  $V = N \cup T$ ,
- $S \in N$  is the start symbol, and
- $P$  is a finite set of productions of the form

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For),$$

$X \in N$ ,  $\alpha \in V^*$ , and  $Per, For \subseteq V^+$  are finite sets.

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Example

## Example

Consider a grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1**  $(S \rightarrow AB, \emptyset, \emptyset),$
- 2**  $(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\}),$
- 3**  $(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\}).$

Then,  $G$  is a cc-grammar.

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Definitions

Permitting and Forbidding contexts.

## Definition (Derivation Step)

For  $u, v \in (N \cup T)^*$ , and  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$ ,

$$uXv \Rightarrow u\alpha v,$$

if

$$Per \subseteq sub(uXv)^1 \text{ and } For \cap sub(uXv) = \emptyset.$$

## Definition (Language)

$$L(G) = \{w \in T^* : S \Rightarrow^* w\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{CCG} = \{L(G) : G \text{ is a cc-grammar}\}$$

---

<sup>1</sup> $\text{sub}(x) = \{u : u \text{ is a subword of } x\}$

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Example

## Example

Consider a cc-grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1**  $(S \rightarrow AB, \emptyset, \emptyset),$
- 2**  $(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\}),$
- 3**  $(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\}).$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} AAB &\Rightarrow AcB \quad [(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\})] \\ AAB &\not\Rightarrow AAd \quad [(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\})] \end{aligned}$$

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Definitions

## Definition (Conditional Production)

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$  is said to be conditional if

$$Per \cup For \neq \emptyset.$$

## Definition (Degree)

$G$  has degree  $(i, j)$  if for all productions

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P,$$

$$|x| \leq i, \quad x \in Per$$

and

$$|y| \leq j, \quad y \in For.$$

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Example

## Example

Consider the previous cc-grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1**  $(S \rightarrow AB, \emptyset, \emptyset)$  is not conditional,
- 2**  $(A \rightarrow c, \{B\}, \{ABB\})$  is conditional,
- 3**  $(B \rightarrow d, \{AA, AB\}, \{A, B, S\})$  is conditional.

Then,  $G$  has degree  $(2, 3)$ .

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Results

**Theorem**

$$\text{CCG} = \text{RE}$$

**Proof.**

No surprise, **RC** = **RE** (hard, see Dassow and Paun).

□

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Results

## Theorem

$\text{CCG} = \text{RE}$

## Proof.

No surprise,  $\text{RC} = \text{RE}$  (hard, see Dassow and Paun). □

## Theorem

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a cc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with no more than 6 conditional productions and 7 nonterminals.*

# Context-Conditional Grammars: Results

## Theorem

*Context-conditional grammars with regular productions have the same generative power as regular grammars.*

## Theorem

*Context-conditional grammars with linear productions have the same generative power as linear grammars.*

# Simple Context-Conditional Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A simple context-conditional grammar (scc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$\emptyset \in \{Per, For\}.$$

# Simple Context-Conditional Grammars: Results

## Theorem

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a scc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with no more than 7 conditional productions and 8 nonterminals.*

## Proof.

Based on the Geffert normal form. □

# Simple Context-Conditional Grammars: Results

## Proof Prerequisite.

Every *RE* language is generated by a grammar

$$G_1 = (\{S, A, B, C\}, T, P \cup \{ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon\}, S)$$

where  $P$  contains context-free productions of the form

$$S \rightarrow uSa, \quad S \rightarrow uSv, \quad S \rightarrow uv,$$

where  $u \in \{A, AB\}^*$ ,  $v \in \{BC, C\}^*$ ,  $a \in T$ .

In addition,  $w \in \mathcal{L}(G_1)$  iff

$$S \xrightarrow{P}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \xrightarrow{\{ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon\}}^* w,$$

where  $w_1 \in \{A, AB\}^*$ ,  $w_2 \in \{BC, C\}^*$ , and  $w \in T^*$ . □

## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1**  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2**  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3**  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4**  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5**  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6**  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7**  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$S \Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1**  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2**  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3**  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4**  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5**  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6**  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7**  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B C w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1**  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2**  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3**  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4**  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5**  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6**  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7**  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' BC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1**  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2**  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3**  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4**  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5**  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6**  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7**  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' BC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1**  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2**  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3**  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4**  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5**  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6**  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7**  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' BC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1**  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2**  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3**  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4**  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5**  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6**  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7**  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' BC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' C' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' BC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



## Proof Construction.

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, A', B', C', B''\}, T, P_1 \cup P_2, S)$ , where

$$P_1 = \{(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, \emptyset) : X \rightarrow \alpha \in P\},$$

and  $P_2$  contains:

**Derivation** ( $ABC \rightarrow \varepsilon$ )

- 1  $(A \rightarrow A', \emptyset, \{A', B''\})$
- 2  $(B \rightarrow B', \emptyset, \{B', B''\})$
- 3  $(C \rightarrow C', \emptyset, \{C', B''\})$
- 4  $(B' \rightarrow B'', \{A'B', B'C'\}, \emptyset)$
- 5  $(A' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 6  $(C' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \{B''\}, \emptyset)$
- 7  $(B'' \rightarrow \varepsilon, \emptyset, \{A', C'\})$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_{P_1}^* w_1 ABC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' BC w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 A' B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' C' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 B'' w_2 w \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 w_2 w \end{aligned}$$



# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A generalized forbidding grammar (gf-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$Per = \emptyset.$$

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A generalized forbidding grammar (gf-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$Per = \emptyset.$$

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, For)$  is simplified to  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, For)$ .

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A generalized forbidding grammar (gf-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$Per = \emptyset.$$

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, \emptyset, For)$  is simplified to  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, For)$ .

## Definition (Degree)

$G$  has degree  $i$  if  $G$  has degree  $(k, i)$  as a cc-grammar, for some  $k \geq 0$ .

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Example

## Example

Consider a gf-grammar (forbidding gr.=no strings, only symbols)

$$G = (\{A, B, C\}, \{a\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1**  $(A \rightarrow BB, \{C\})$
- 2**  $(B \rightarrow C, \{A\})$
- 3**  $(C \rightarrow A, \{a, B\})$
- 4**  $(C \rightarrow a, \{A, B\})$

Then,  $G$  has degree 1 and  $AA \Rightarrow BBA \Rightarrow BBBB \Rightarrow^4 CCCC \Rightarrow^4 aaaa$ .

Thus,

$$L(G) = \{a^{2^n} : n \geq 1\}.$$

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Results

**Theorem (Meduna, 1990)**

**GFG = RE**

**Theorem (Bordihn and Fernau, 1995)**

**F ⊂ REC** (*forbidding grammars=no strings, only symbols*)

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Results

**Theorem (Meduna, 1990)**

**GFG = RE**

**Theorem (Bordihn and Fernau, 1995)**

**F ⊂ REC** (*forbidding grammars=no strings, only symbols*)

**Theorem**

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a gf-grammar of degree 2 with no more than 8 conditional productions and 10 nonterminals.*

# Generalized Forbidding Grammars: Results

**Theorem (Meduna, 1990)**

**GFG = RE**

**Theorem (Bordihn and Fernau, 1995)**

**F ⊂ REC** (*forbidding grammars=no strings, only symbols*)

**Theorem**

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a gf-grammar of degree 2 with no more than 8 conditional productions and 10 nonterminals.*

**Theorem**

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a gf-grammar of degree 2 with no more than 9 conditional productions and 8 nonterminals.*

# Generalized Permitting Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A generalized permitting grammar (gp-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$For = \emptyset.$$

- As far as I know, nobody has studied descriptional complexity;
- gp-grammars vs. type-0 grammars.

# Semi-Conditional Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A Semi-Conditional Grammars (sc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$|Per|, |For| \leq 1.^2$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Each context contains no more than one nonempty string.

# Semi-Conditional Grammars: Results

## Theorem

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a sc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with no more than 7 conditional productions and 8 nonterminals.*

# Semi-Conditional Grammars: Results

## Theorem

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a sc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with no more than 7 conditional productions and 8 nonterminals.*

## Theorem (Mayer, 1972)

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a sc-grammar of degree  $(1, 1)$ .*

# Simple Semi-Conditional Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A simple semi-conditional grammar (ssc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$|Per| + |For| \leq 1.$$
<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>In each production, there is no more than one nonempty string in the union of its contexts.

# Simple Semi-Conditional Grammars: Definition

## Definition

A simple semi-conditional grammar (ssc-grammar) is a cc-grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S),$$

where

$$(X \rightarrow \alpha, Per, For) \in P$$

implies that

$$|Per| + |For| \leq 1.$$
<sup>3</sup>

$(X \rightarrow \alpha, \{p\}, \{f\})$  is simplified to  $(X \rightarrow \alpha, p, f)$ , and  $\emptyset$  to 0.

---

<sup>3</sup>In each production, there is no more than one nonempty string in the union of its contexts.

# Simple Semi-Conditional Grammars: Example

## Example

Consider a ssc-grammar

$$G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$$

with  $P$  consisting of the following productions:

- 1** ( $S \rightarrow AB, 0, 0$ ),
- 2** ( $A \rightarrow c, 0, B$ ),
- 3** ( $B \rightarrow d, AB, 0$ ).

Then,  $G$  has degree

$$(2, 1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} AB &\Rightarrow Ad \quad [(B \rightarrow d, AB, 0)] \\ AB &\not\Rightarrow cB \quad [(A \rightarrow c, 0, B)] \end{aligned}$$

# Simple Semi-Conditional Grammars: Results

## Theorem

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a ssc-grammar of degree  $(2, 1)$  with no more than 9 conditional productions and 10 nonterminals.*

## Theorem (Masopust and Meduna)

*Let  $L \in \text{RE}$ , then  $L$  is generated by a ssc-grammar of degree  $(1, 1)$ .<sup>4</sup>*

---

<sup>4</sup>This was an open problem formulated in the book by Meduna and Švec, Grammars with Context Conditions and Their Applications, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2005.

# Summary

Every recursively enumerable language is generated by a

- 1** cc-grammar of degree (2, 1) with six conditional productions and seven nonterminals;
- 2** scc-grammar of degree (2, 1) with seven conditional productions and eight nonterminals;
- 3** gf-grammar of degree two with eight conditional productions and ten nonterminals;
- 4** gf-grammar of degree two with nine conditional productions and eight nonterminals;
- 5** sc-grammar of degree (2, 1) with seven conditional productions and eight nonterminals; and
- 6** ssc-grammar of degree (2, 1) with nine conditional productions and ten nonterminals.

# Bibliography



T. Masopust.

*Regulated Formal Models and Their Reductions.*

PhD thesis, Faculty of Information Technology, Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic, 2007.