# Weighted Finite State Transducers in Automatic Speech Recognition ZRE lecture 15.04.2015

#### Mirko Hannemann

Slides provided with permission, Daniel Povey some slides from T. Schultz, M. Mohri, M. Riley and S. Renals

#### 15.04.2015

#### Automatic speech recognition



#### Automatic speech recognition

- Determine the most probable word sequence  $\tilde{\textit{W}}$  given the observed acoustic signal Y

$$\tilde{W} = \operatorname{argmax} P(W|Y) = \frac{\operatorname{argmax} P(W)P(Y|W)}{P(Y)}$$

- Search for word sequence  $\tilde{W}$  that maximizes P(W) and P(Y|W)
  - P(W) = language model (likelihood of word sequence)
  - P(Y|W) = acoustic model (likelihood of observed acoustic signal given word sequence)

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

# Hidden Markov Model, Token passing





# Viterbi algorithm, trellis



Mirko Hannemann Weighted Finite State Transducers in ASR 5/57

#### Isolated word recognition



 $P(X|YES) \cdot P(YES) > P(X|NO) \cdot P(NO)$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

# Connected word recognition



### Phoneme based models - re-usable acoustic units



# Decoding graph/recognition network



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

# Decoding graph/recognition network

#### Bigram language model



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

# Decoding graph/recognition network



# Viterbi path with complex models



# Viterbi path with back-tracking



# Why Finite State Transducers?

#### Motivation:

- most components (LM, lexicon, lattice) are finite-state
- unified framework for describing models
- integrate different models into a single model via composition operations
- improve search efficiency via optimization algorithms
- flexibility to extend (add new models)
- $\rightarrow$  speed: pre-compiled search space, near realtime performance on embedded systems
- $\rightarrow$  flexibility: same decoder used for hand-held devices and LVCSR

# Finite State Acceptor (FSA)



- An FSA "accepts" a set of strings
- (a string is a sequence of symbols).
- View FSA as a representation of a possibly infinite set of strings.
- ▶ This FSA accepts just the string *ab*, i.e. the set {*ab*}
- Numbers in circles are state labels (not really important).
- Labels are on arcs are the symbols.
- Start state(s) bold; final/accepting states have extra circle.
  - Note: it is sometimes assumed there is just one start state.

 $\mathcal{O} \land \mathcal{O}$ 

#### A less trivial FSA



- The previous example doesn't show the power of FSAs because we could represent the set of strings finitely.
- ▶ This example represents the infinite set {*ab*, *aab*, *aaab*, ...}
- ▶ Note: a string is "accepted" (included in the set) if:
  - There is a path with that sequence of symbols on it.
  - That path is "successful' (starts at an initial state, ends at a final state).

### The epsilon symbol



- The symbol  $\epsilon$  has a special meaning in FSAs (and FSTs)
- It means "no symbol is there".
- ▶ This example represents the set of strings {*a*, *aa*, *aaa*, ...}
- If \(\epsilon\) were treated as a normal symbol, this would be \{\(a, a\epsilon a, a\epsilon a\epsilon a, a\epsilon a,
- ▶ In text form,  $\epsilon$  is sometimes written as <eps>
- Toolkits implementing FSAs/FSTs generally assume <eps> is the symbol numbered zero

### Weighted finite state acceptors



- Like a normal FSA but with costs on the arcs and final-states
- ► Note: cost comes after "/". For final-state, "2/1" means final-cost 1 on state 2.
- View WFSA as a function from a string to a cost.
- ▶ In this view, unweighted FSA is f : string  $\rightarrow$  {0, ∞}.
- If multiple paths have the same string, take the one with the lowest cost.
- This example maps *ab* to (3 = 1 + 1 + 1), all else to  $\infty$ .

#### Weights vs. costs



- Personally I use "cost" to refer to the numeric value, and "weight" when speaking abstractly, e.g.:
  - The acceptor above accepts *a* with unit weight.
  - It accepts a with zero cost.
  - It accepts bc with cost 4 = 2 + 1 + 1
  - State 1 is final with unit weight.
  - The acceptor assigns zero weight to xyz.
  - It assigns infinite cost to xyz.

### Probability or tropical semi-ring



Probability semiring  $(\mathbb{R}_+, +, \times, 0, 1)$ Tropical semiring  $(\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}, \min, +, \infty, 0)$  $\llbracket A \rrbracket (ab) = 14$  $\llbracket A \rrbracket (ab) = 4$  $(1 \times 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 3 \times 2 = 14)$  $(\min(1 + 1 + 2, 3 + 2 + 2) = 4)$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Semirings

- The semiring concept makes WFSAs more general.
- A semiring is
  - A set of elements (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}$ )
  - Two special elements  $\overline{1}$  and  $\overline{0}$  (the identity element and zero)
  - Two operations,  $\oplus$  (plus) and  $\times$  (times) satsifying certain axioms.

Semiring examples.  $\oplus_{\log}$  is defined by:  $x \oplus_{\log} y = -\log(e^{-x} + e^{-y})$ .

Semiring	Set	$\oplus$	$\otimes$	$\overline{0}$	1
Boolean	$\{0,1\}$	$\vee$	$\wedge$	0	1
Probability	$\mathbb{R}_+$	+	×	0	1
Log	$\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$	$\oplus_{\log}$	+	$+\infty$	0
Tropical	$\mathbb{R}\cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$	min	+	$+\infty$	0

In WFSAs, weights are multiplied along paths

summed over paths with identical symbol-sequences

#### Weighted finite state transducers (WFST)



- Like a WFSA except with two labels on each arc.
- View it as a function from a (pair of strings) to a weight
- This one maps (a, x) to 1 and all else to  $\infty$
- Note: view 1 and  $\infty$  as costs.  $\infty$  is  $\overline{0}$  in semiring.
- Symbols on the left and right are termed "input" and "output" symbols.

. . . . . . .

### Composition of WFSTs



- ▶ Notation:  $C = A \circ B$  means, C is A composed with B.
- In special cases, composition is similar to function composition
- Composition algorithm "matches up" the "inner symbols"
  - ▶ i.e. those on the output (right) of A and input (left) of B

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Composition algorithm

- Ignoring  $\epsilon$  symbols, algorithm is quite simple.
- ▶ States in *C* correspond to tuples of (state in *A*, state in *B*).
  - But some of these may be inaccessible and pruned away.
- Maintain queue of pairs, initially the single pair (0,0) (start states).
- ▶ When processing a pair (*s*, *t*):
  - ► Consider each pair of (arc *a* from *s*), (arc *b* from *t*).
  - If these have matching symbols (output of a, input of b):
    - Create transition to state in C corresponding to (next-state of a, next-state of b)
    - If not seen before, add this pair to queue.
- With  $\epsilon$  involved, need to be careful to avoid redundant paths...

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

# Construction of decoding network

- WFST approach [Mohri et al.]
- exploit several knowledge sources (lexicon, grammar, phonetics) to find most likely spoken word sequence

$$HCLG = H \circ C \circ L \circ G \tag{1}$$

- G probabilistic grammar or language model acceptor (word)
- L lexicon (phones to words)
- C context-dependent relabeling (ctx-dep-phone to phone)
- H HMM structure (PDF labels to context-dependent phones)

Create H, C, L, G separately and compose them together

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Language model

Estimate probability of a word sequence W:

$$P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N)$$
(2)  

$$P(W) = P(w_1) \cdot P(w_2|w_1) \cdot P(w_3|w_1, w_2) \cdot \dots \cdot P(w_N|w_1, \dots, w_{N-1})$$
(3)

Approximate by sharing histories *h* (e.g. bigram history):

$$P(W) \approx P(w_1) \cdot P(w_2|w_1) \cdot P(w_3|w_2) \cdot \ldots \cdot P(w_N|w_{N-1})$$
(4)

What to do if a certain history was not observed in training texts?

- statistical smoothing of the distribution
- interpolation of different orders of history
- backing-off to shorter history

A B F A B F

Language model acceptor G

• **G:** Grammar Transducer Backing-off language model:

$$p(w|h) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(w|h) : \text{if } N(w,h) > 0 \\ \alpha(h) \cdot f(w|\overline{h}) : \text{if } N(w,h) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- Input: word
- Weight: history dependent word probability



# Language models (ARPA back-off)

```
\1-grams:
-5.2347 a -3.3
-3.4568 b
0.0000 <s> -2.5
-4.3333 </s>
\2-grams:
-1.4568 a b
-1.3049 <s> a
```

-1.78 b a -2.30 b </s>



イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨトー

э

#### Pronunciation lexicon L

A ax ABERDEEN ae berdiyn ABOARD ax braodd ADD aedd ABOVE ax bahv

Non-determinism: the same phone sequence can output different words ("I scream for ice cream.")

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# **Deterministic WFSTs**



- Taken to mean "deterministic on the input symbol"
- I.e., no state can have > 1 arc out of it with the same input symbol.
- Some interpretations (e.g. Mohri/AT&T/OpenFst) allow ε input symbols (i.e. being ε-free is a separate issue).
- I prefer a definition that disallows epsilons, except as necessary to encode a string of output symbols on an arc.
- ► Regardless of definition, not all WFSTs can be determinized.

글 > < 글

#### Pronunciation lexicon L with disambiguation symbols

A	ax	#1
ABERDEEN	ae	b er d iy n
ABOARD	ax	b r ao dd
ADD	ae	dd #1
ABOVE	ax	b ah v

Added disambiguation symbols:

- if a phone sequences can output different words ("I scream for ice cream.")
- non-determinism: introduce disambiguation symbols, remove at last stage

A B b A B b

#### Determinization (like making tree-structured lexicon)



# Minimal deterministic WFSTs



- ▶ Here, the left FSA is not minimal but the right one is.
- "Minimal" is normally only applied to deterministic FSAs.
- Think of it as suffix sharing, or combining redundant states.
- It's useful to save space (but not as crucial as determinization, for ASR).

3 D 🗸 3

# Minimization (like suffix sharing)



# Pronunciation lexicon L

- L: Context-Dependency Transducer
  - Input: context-independent phone (phoneme)
  - Output: word
  - Weight: pronunciation probability



# Context-dependency of phonemes

So far we use phonemes independent of context, but:

 co-articulation: pronunciation of phones changes with surrounding phones



# Context-dependency transducer C

Introduce context-dependent phones:

- tri-phones: each model depends on predecessor and successor phoneme: a-b-c (b/a<sub>c</sub>)
- implemented as context-dependency transducer C
- Input: context-dependent phone (triphone)
- Output: context-independent phone (phone)
  - shown is one path of it



. . . . . . .

#### Context-dependency transducer C



Figure 6: Context-dependent triphone transducer transition: (a) non-deterministic, (b) deterministic.



# Phonetic decision tree

- too many context-dependent models  $(N^3) \rightarrow$  clustering
- determine model-id (gaussian) based on phoneme context and state in HMM
- using questions about context (sets of phones)



# HMM as transducer



イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

크

# HMM as transducer (monophone)

- H: HMM Topology Transducer (maps states to phonemes)
  - Input: state
  - **Output:** context-dependent phone (triphone)
  - Weight: HMM transition probability



# HMM transducer H<sub>a</sub>



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

# Construction of decoding network

Let's put all together:

$$HCLG = H \circ C \circ L \circ G \tag{5}$$

- H HMM: input PDF labels, output context-dependent phones
- C context-dependency: input ctx-dep-phones, output phones
- L lexicon: input phones, output words
- G language model: input/output words

- A B M A B M

# Construction of decoding network

WFST approach by [Mohri et al.]

 $HCLG = rds(min(det(H \circ det(C \circ det(L \circ G)))))$ (6)

rds - remove disambiguation symbols min - minimization, includes weight pushing

det - determinization

Kaldi toolkit [Povey et al.]

 $HCLG = asl(min(rds(det(H_a \circ min(det(C \circ min(det(L \circ G))))))))$ (7)

asl - add self loops

rds - remove disambiguation symbols

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Weight and label pushing

- two WFSAs are equal, if they accept the same label sequences with the same weights
- local distribution of weights along the path can be different
- same holds for output labels in WFSTs



- for pruning: apply costs as early as possible
- make outgoing arcs stochastic distribution
- ightarrow output labels not synchronized anymore in WFST

∃ → 4 ∃

# Decoding graph construction (complexities)

- Have to do things in a careful order or algorithms "blow up"
- Determinization for WFSTs can fail
  - need to insert "disambiguation symbols" into the lexicon.
  - need to "propagate these through" H and C.
- Need to guarantee that final HCLG is stochastic:
  - i.e. sums to one, like a properly normalized HMM
  - needed for optimal pruning (discard unlikely paths)
  - usually done by weight-pushing, but standard algorithm can fail, because FST representation of back-off LMs is non-stochastic
- We want to recover the phone sequence from the recognized path (words)
  - sometimes also the model-indices (PDF-ids) and the HCLG arcs that were used in best path

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Decoding with WFSTs (finding best path)

Solve

$$W' = argmax_W P(X|W)P(W)$$

- Compose recognizer as (H o C o L o G) which maps states to word sequences
- Decode by aligning the feature vectors X with HCLG "
   i.e.,
   W'=argmax<sub>w</sub> X ∘ (H ∘ C ∘ L ∘ G)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Decoding with WFSTs



- ▶ First- a "WFST definition" of the decoding problem.
- Let U be an FST that encodes the acoustic scores of an utterance (as above).
- ► Let S = U ∘ HCLG be called the search graph for an utterance.
- ▶ Note: if *U* has *N* frames (3, above), then
  - #states in S is  $\leq (N+1)$  times #states in HCLG.
  - ▶ Like *N* + 1 copies of *HCLG*.

# Viterbi algorithm, trellis



크

#### Beam search



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Decoding with WFSTs

- ▶ With beam pruning, we search a subgraph of *S*.
- ► The set of "active states" on all frames, with arcs linking them as appropriate, is a subgraph of *S*.
- ▶ Let this be called the *beam-pruned subgraph* of *S*; call it *B*.
- ► A standard speech recognition decoder finds the best path through *B*.
- In our case, the output of the decoder is a linear WFST that consists of this best path.
- This contains the following useful information:
  - The word sequence, as output symbols.
  - The state alignment, as input symbols.
  - The cost of the path, as the total weight.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

### Decoding output

#### utt1 [ 2 6 6 6 6 10 ] [ 614 613 613 613 711 ] [ 122 123 utt1 SIL th ax utt1 <s> THE

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Word Lattice / Word Graph

#### Word Lattice: a compact representation of the search space



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Lattices as WFSTs

The word "lattice" is used in the ASR literature as:

- Some kind of compact representation of the alternate word hypotheses for an utterance.
- Like an N-best list but with less redundancy.
- Usually has time information, sometimes state or word alignment information.
- Generally a directed acyclic graph with only one start node and only one end node.

• • • • • • • •

# Lattice Generation with WFSTs [Povey12]

Basic process (not memory efficient):

- Generate beam-pruned subgraph B of search graph S
- The states in B correspond to the active states on particular frames.
- Prune B with beam  $\alpha$  to get pruned version P.
- Convert P to acceptor and lattice-determinize to get A (deterministic acceptor)
- $\rightarrow$  No two paths in L have same word sequence (take best)
  - Prune A with beam  $\alpha$  to get final lattice L (in acceptor form).

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

# Finite State Transducers for ASR

Pro's:

- Fast: compact/minimal search space due to combined minimization of lexicon, phonemes, HMM's
- Simple: easy construction of recognizer by composition from states, HMMs, phonemes, lexicon, grammar
- Flexible: whatever new knowledge sources, the compose/optimize/search remains the same

Con's:

- composition of complex models generates a huge WFST
- search space increases, and huge memory is required
- esp. how to deal with huge language models

#### Resources

#### **OpenFST** http://www.openfst.org

Library, developed at Google Research (M. Riley, J. Schalkwyk, W. Skut) and NYU's Courant Institute (C. Allauzen, M. Mohri)

# Mohri08 M. Mohri et al., "Speech Recognition with weighted finite state transducers."

Kaldi http://kaldi.sourceforge.net Open source toolkit in C++ with recipes (D. Povey and others)

Povey11 D. Povey et al., "The Kaldi Speech Recognition Toolkit."

Povey12 D. Povey et al., "Generating exact lattices in the WFST framework."

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト